

see Melt-down

4-6-9

17

My book:

What of warning, and mobilizing

see negotiations
of India-Pak;
Israel!

the "neutrals" ^{we were} threatened by the plans
of NWS, as

- fall-out

- use winter

- agent

- even disruption, world catastrophe

[Weapons falling overcastly
on this territory: see Chitra
famine strikes in London,
Pakistan]

Take y story to the

as I deal with N-bomb

as Japan: Shinkansen ^{High Speed} (+ MHA & study)

as Finland (Nat. radio:)

2-27-09

Now: not Doug, Pat, Corat
(one in S-R?)

Last year: Sean
Coast
lectures

Pat

MY PROGRAM

Kruger

PK

Rosenthal

Zinn

(on WWF?)

(Civil War

on River)

Mad ^{hip} Haters

Engelhardt

new authors:

Daalder

Volentine

(Final Solutions)

Pope

Get secretary — ^{from} Joanna Ray?

Holistic PDS

Londus

Deborah

start writing; but

also working; don't send proposal till ready

FR: focus

(1-3 months)

Quency

TELL ROSS

file materials in cabinets

Mark - Scanner

TS stamp — NOM!

each night: schedule next day

+ week

Use Nomis — don't be away

Murchy

blood test; exercise;

nutrition

— sit in a new Motor — drive (or rent)

start rebuild seat — how?

meal schedule

10PM Feb. 21, 2009

(2-6:30 PM) Mary Memorial

7-9:15 Men On a Wire

9:30 + tell Patricia my title for novel book:

→ What Else + Capital:

Planning A Hundred Holocausts

→ Jim suggested, Wednesday, Feb 19
at Chy Pavilion

She says:

The Novel Papers:

American Planning of Hundred Holocausts
for a

Book: Uses story, without apologizing
or embarrassment (my earlier fear: of
revealing myself as a schemer, a dirty,
a loser...)

The story of my real intent to
get out: (Only Nov 16, 1969) DUKE HOOK (MHH)

1) The contents of my ^{TS} safe
including ^{TS} NSSM-3

C³ Study

BNSP + NESC 2009 TS Tamara Strantz Crisis

See my old website (RAND D's - C³, VN TS NSSM-1

TS My RAND TS D's (see list)

Explain higher
class.

+ 2) ^{TS+} My notes + copies from Crisis Study

^{S, TS} 3) My notes from ISA

^{S, C} (4) My VN notes + memos

TS (5) My notes during C-II

TS (6) My 1961 manuscript

and see my transcript to Messers: 1971

& scan after correcting typos, misspellings

Stamp: TS, S, C UNC

~~to keep~~

allis Reifer Ross

- Rosenthal - get invest. reports - (SIBEL!

Marshall

Burton

Zinn

Kuznick

Eagland

(Phil Tarbom - Stanford)

Criscon

Kirball

Blair

Schell

Heck

(MHH)

Fred Kaplan

Blanton

Jim Weiner (x wife)

Rhodes

Deo Ball

Rosenthal

R.

Kings -

books

Falk - Knizer

TODA

Griffin

van Hest

H. H. H.

Schwein

Paul Haggis

Zinn

Robbie - Sorensen

Steve?

Damon?

Andy Ross

Schwein

Har - tell

Senaki -

PACNE

10:10 PM 2-21-09

Keep book short: 225 pages - 275 pages

Write accounts of all aspects: *

Publish what I can in

BAS

Int. Sec.

Math Jones

....

AND IN INTERNET

(Book: New Yorker?)

All else: future

along with: - all files about

- all of earlier interviews, articles

- all my new files from computer

(all at once? or, blog?)

+ survey

humanity, etc.

(edited!)

REFER to all of these in the book

(thus, keep ^{copy} it short: end-note refs

(refs won't to my refs; and to refs about me

in Deo Bell, C3 works, FRUS....

-COPIES, or

Inc. efforts to get story out
("Without does...")

- Delegation
Zaslowski / Ottinger
NBC (RFTF → Brooks (unten?))
RF Trial (Purcell & Gen. of Dis.)
WSSM-1 or Elliott
Woodward + Bernstein
Croskite Schachne
Heard (WIN, NYT)
(Kagran?)

"Duck Hook"
Thurats

Heard ("I thought...")
Moris; Arnold; McGee...

(Ron Dasso)

(Daniel Hanitz) (Penthouse)

Big hints:

Tamara Straits

(Fkr-Dulles 1953)

C-II

Berlin - Hilpatze - K

1980?!

N Thursts (Moris; Kniball/Burn)

a) Score (rough sort)

+ Copies

b) Categorize

c) With intro + notes

TR: Account of Querying

What's left out of that study (one)

" " " " detached - version

What McN lies about

(+ see McN/MH4)

McGB

1967 not put
out

WPB?

Center - By 1980

Good quotes from info. in Call to Meeting
(on under)

Redacted from PP (GPO edition!)

Dampfired by Betty

Helpful study - initially redacted

NSC-68 (H)

Commit + Office, GAO 1950

Sybil, Rabinovich 1945 (if to be...

~~Person~~ Central Walt

Mobilization

(RRTF) Festering

Kingroad - delegation from Moscow

That Mandator

Vandenberg, NTS general zone - tent cut-off

on N-Hal

Emergency Sec.

(Butler)

Memoir

we + COG

Portledge Committee

Kelly Delegation

Rue Men

Change to Word

WP:

ACUTL

Research

Rue Men

NVC

NPT

Buddy

DET

Delegation

Debate

K School

NCA Follow-up

NEC BOD

NEC MIN

OUT

WRITE

X A B C L

X C 2

X C 2

X H C A

X O U T L

X S U

X T H I N T

A C 2

en route to
Soyuzlita

Boat

1-16-09

Americans need to know:

- Rec Def

"by any
means necessary"

(Black Panthers!
Sheng!)

Torture - ticking bomb

What if - on good authority - I
held the (false) belief that
~~was~~ I held the person who
I believed, with (unfounded)
certainty or high likelihood knew
the continuation and/or whereabouts
of a ticking bomb

Assumption is: you should
not pass a law unless
there are no imaginable
circumstances in which it
might reasonably be broken,
or ought to be broken!

[see all "are def" cases!]

e.g. OSA on SI! (or, UK-OSA!

[or - Algeria - a small
conventional bomb!]

which ~~he~~ would reveal
promptly with waterboarding
and probably would not
with any other method -
so, we would probably
save "10M" people
and nothing else would.

But some applies to
"unambiguous torture"!

What would you not try,
if you felt it might work?

What to do?

As Ching said: A no-
brainer.

Break law, Risk prison

(Being transparent will help ensure law is broken
only when something like these conditions are approximated!)

2- 1-13-9

NeDof: Hiroshima

NeDof: "Endo"
justif (alternatives)
illegal/minimal)
means!

"NUC DET" NATO FU PREEMPTION/DL

S.B. (Start bombing)
war on cities

(Pres directive!
in Treaty: "On Morality"
"bushy eggs")

terrorism - see ongoing Israeli
attack of Kagan: WP
- see Hamas

hard one for BHO

- delay detection of "terror actions"
who cannot be convicted because
evidence withheld by Torture

[Conviction of Bush admin officials might be hard:
by juries - "Emergency" - NeDof - Unwarranted

see "successes of
deterrence"

- "Success" - no terror attacks.

(Remember this week. to BHO:

(Reflected is fear of
new situation: 7 countries,
"non-deterministic"

"What would Dick do?"

- Vs - "terrorists", civil
aggressors
have no
coordinations

(F.A.; Pearl Harbor)

Murder
regards
"other"

- how public commitment

to 2) Primary, 4th Amendment; (b) anti-Torture
c) war crisis pros. of Americans; d) Congress
powers

- OLC opinions

- Pres. authorization

How public committed to

Newly principles

Kelven
Milgram

- Cong. briefings

(No witness in Cong. leaders in
investigation of pros.

If approved:

- Don't fear of appearing "pentagon" (i)

& witnesses, long "moderates"

(Blue Days)

1-16-09 New Book

News, 1-15-09

1. - Struck in Secret of ... Delegation!

like a
Marked:
David Steffen
Clyde Sichel
Rachel Carson

*

2. An of book: Cong + journalistic
investigation!

WBs!

Revelation of: Butten

Harbinger

revel Steffen

as document!

former UK military

Twilight's Last Gleaming

Crimson Tide

Force Cong. members to respond!

Revel part come-up.

(Sen. Kerry?)

My book:

Start. bombing

Herionis + Ray

Ree D.J.

read
Zimbardo

Milgram, etc.

Arndt/Helwig/Edman

Note: he is not attached,

like Arndt, (Edman) Finkelstein

Wf not?

genocide / e.c. / warfare / torture / suicide

(Appt. Omani
religion)

(invention of: spear / blow)

total war

are arg (dead purpose?)

spear / sword / arrow / club

(chip?)

BiWillson

106: Does the Zimbardo experiment meet ethical standards, for replication, "informed consent"?

Wf does it, and not Milgram?

What is unethical about " " ?

Hyp: Do l-t study of subjects from

a) Milgram in US

b) elsewhere, where studies are legal!

1-25-09

Long Sanders: This moment is like 11-9-89:
Berlin Wall coming down.

BOOK

[for me: left 61 - new NIE!

yet secret;

RAND rejects

[see period: Wall

Feb 28 JFK speech

crises tasks

(see me / Hilpatrick)

then (Jimmy Morgeson!

C-II

Ball: Keyserian and for NM!

Savithra

Hartloff: reporter for new death group

US "Victory" is C-II but bad effort on SU, and roll

(It didn't follow from Berlin;

would K (or JFK) have built up SU and

if he had been given a ^{or open} trade on Tenby:

BOTH DOWN (instead of: SU only down, then both UP!)

could it have led to CTB - (if K had

allowed ~12 inspiration! Could he have done AD

more easily if he had "won" - a trade!?

I was among
✓ but among
conception of K
axis, motives

because, US "Victory" is ~~SD~~ CW led to triumphalism,
hubris, situation to use and enjoy superiority
(as in

1-25-09

(JFK)

Book: K at Vienna^{'61} applauded JFK's "conversion" that "we are equal" —

though K said his military told him SU was "superior" [DID THEY? IN '61? BLOOF]

Rush: US was vastly superior, even though a US FS could lead to [one writer?] devastation of Europe:

or McN/VFK could not have assumed that SU too knew on a US invasion force would lead immediately to all-out US FS

"not just on
Soviet" — as
JFK said in
Moscow speech.
(Oct 22?)

That prospect did lead to SU "acceptance
of defeat"

this, "Type I deterrence" of a limited (S-R) by
SU EU vs. US troops engaged in illegal
aggression [as in Hong]

despite, WMDs
in Cuba: "too close
to US" (despite Turkey)
and "potential under
Cuban control" [US - but
with local Sov control -
not much better!]

Suppose SU had given too much
workheads to 1) Hong

or 5) NUN: 2) China, ~ 1958
(or China = NUN but Qing-Motun
were workheads!) 3) Egypt, ~ 1973
as the offer was 4) Castro:
French ~ 1954!

Would we now
launch SIOF if there

were used against US troops invading such countries?

or we allowed them de facto control
or Rusk was willing to help France!

2-9-09

X: 10M 100M 500M 1B+ ($\frac{1}{6}$)

(Flu 1917? Black Death 5-6B

What prob. of X is "acceptable"

to what end?

$pr(X) < ?$ is negligible

What would justify failure to
take (any) steps to reduce the prob?

(AK: the consequences
of a war:

e.g. from 200M to 20M?

or, to do m, n, o?

... going priority to ...

(CW: need to provide me. def. for
raising prob.,
failing to do ...

What now? MIC? Dan pol? Even of war pol?

Compare to:

katuna(s)

(Terront benet)

Global Warming

~~Depressions~~

(Ripulos)

(lost of) effective / flavible
reasons

Hand:

frag

Afghanistan

Pak

1. Vo. Terrorism

2. Palatitil (hard to bring about - for US)

3. Stimulus

4. Banks?

but what we're doing...

5. Health

6. China (vs. Prisons)

7. War on drugs

Poor oil

2-10-09

Xhiroshi as big case of McDuff: based on lies.

Note, like finboning: content of
deceit

Manuelson
Tosaka + Yang

Hasegawa

War party wanted to keep war going until invasion, to get
better terms. Keep Emperor (AND avoid this on trials!)

100

[Both US and SU kept the secret of SU

imminent entry at Potsdam, July 26

because each wanted to prolong the
until August:

Stalin, to get in war!

~~1st~~ 1st, to use Barbs (before

offering to keep Emperor: the other required
along with SU entry, for ending war) — even above, keeping
SU out!

(Two front Barbs: at cost of letting
behave in (waiting for Barbs) but in hopes
of getting surrender before they got in force.

along with offer
Japanese, too, wanted this.

- Did Amis know of salience of SU entry
to Japanese?